

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,144	04,144 06/27/2003		Robert Wayne Gravely	11219.41656	1143	
26702	7590	02/17/2005		EXAMINER		
	-	ING & MARTIN LI	RACHUBA, MAURINA T			
6000 FAII SUITE 11		OAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLO	CHARLOTTE, NC 28210			3723		
				DATE MAILED: 02/17/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	~\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
		10/604,144	GRAVELY ET AL.	07				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		M Rachuba	3723					
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	s				
Period fo		DEDI V 10 OFT TO EVENE A MA	ONTHIO) SDOM					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a neation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this community	nication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 November 2004</u> .						
	•	☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
*	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	pplication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	je				
	application from the International	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachmen	• •	_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infor	te of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/03.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))				

Application/Control Number: 10/604,144

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group III, claims 18-25, in the reply filed on 30 November 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Matsumoto, DES 390,435. '435 discloses a circular saw blade comprising a planar saw
body having an axial thickness and defining a plurality of cavities therein, each one of
the cavities being sufficient to receive liquid therein for transport; each one of the
cavities triangular in cross-section; each one of the cavities having a trailing edge; each
one of the cavities includes a radial dimension; each one of the cavities extends through
the saw body from a first side thereof to a second opposite side thereof; the plurality of
cavities comprise three cavities spaced 120 degrees to one another about a center of
the saw body; each one of the cavities includes a radial dimension that varies along a
circumferential direction of the saw body between opposed circumferential ends of the
cavity; and each one of the cavities includes corners all of which are rounded. '435
does not disclose the thickness of the blade, or the orientation or dimensional size of
the cavities. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have made the
blade and cavities of any thickness, orientation or dimension desired, since such a

Application/Control Number: 10/604,144

Art Unit: 3723

modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Here, the thickness of the blade as claimed would depend on the material of the blade, and the material the blade cuts, while the dimension and orientation of the cavities would depend on the overall size of the blade.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar saw blades are cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is **(571) 272-4493**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Rachuba Primary Patent Examiner

